1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	JAYAKRISHNAN K NAIR, et al.,	CASE NO. C19-1296 MJP
11	Plaintiffs,	MINUTE ORDER
12	v.	
13	CHANNA COPELAND, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	The following minute order is made by the direction of the court, the Honorable Marsha	
17	J. Pechman, United States District Judge:	
18	Plaintiffs have filed a Complaint and Application for <i>Ex Parte</i> Temporary Restraining	
19	Order. Dkt. No. 4. The matter involves a dispute over the care of an elderly citizen of India who	
20	is already the subject of a guardianship and a Vulnerable Adult Protective Order from the King	
21	County Superior Court.	
22	The Court DENIES Plaintiffs' request for an <i>ex parte</i> TRO. Because the issues raised by	
23	Plaintiffs' complaint are currently the subject of state court proceedings, Plaintiffs' federal filing	
24		

implicates the Colorado River Doctrine, which calls for a federal court to abstain from ruling in 2 matters which are pending in state court. Colorado River Water Conservation Dist. v. United States, 424 U.S. 800, 817 (1976). Although making no "merits" determination at this time, 3 4 the Court will not rule on these issues, even preliminarily, without an opportunity for all 5 sides to be heard. 6 The filing fee has been paid and summonses issued to Plaintiffs, who now bear 7 responsibility for serving the defendants with notice of this action. Once Defendants have been 8 served and appeared, the parties are ordered to meet, confer, and propose a briefing schedule on 9 Plaintiffs' request for injunctive relief. 10 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to Plaintiffs and to all counsel. 11 Filed August 20, 2019. 12 William M. McCool Clerk of Court 13 s/Paula McNabb 14 Deputy Clerk 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24